

# SHM Student Hospitalist Scholar Grant Rubric



## Scientific Quality

## Strength of Faculty Mentorship

## Implementation Plan

## Budget

## Publication

## Demonstrated Commitment to Hospital Medicine & Personal Statement Evaluation

## Letters of Recommendation and Evaluation:

|  | OUTSTANDING   | PRETTY GOOD   | SATISFACTORY  | UNREMARKABLE  | UNACCEPTABLE   |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
|  | 5   | 4   | 3   | 2   | 1  |
| Scientific Quality   | Significant implications for hospital medicine; relevant and not well-studied in the literature   | Some implications for hospital medicine; initial studies done in the literature, but not well-described               | Interesting for hospitalists; quite a few similar studies in existence, but the study would contribute new information                | May be interesting for hospital medicine; does not contribute significantly to already existing literature  | Not applicable to hospital medicine; poorly described research question                |
| Strength of Faculty Mentorship   | Hospitalist: Yes<br>Experience: Excellent (>5 successful projects mentored)<br>Commitment to project: Excellent, obvious  | Hospitalist: Yes<br>Experience: Good (3-4 prior successful projects mentored)<br>Commitment to project: Excellent     | Hospitalist: Yes<br>Experience: some to good (1-2 prior successful projects mentored)<br>Commitment to project: Excellent             | Hospitalist: Yes<br>Experience: Little to none<br>Commitment to project: Little to none   | Hospitalist: No<br>Experience: Doesn't matter<br>Commitment to project: Doesn't matter |
| Implementation Plan  | Well-described timeline; appropriate team members identified; plan for data collection excellent, little to no revisions to plan needed   | Reasonable timeline; appropriate team members identified; plan for data collection good, few revisions to plan needed | Reasonable timeline; appropriate team members identified; plan for data collection requires more significant revisions, but is viable | Poorly planned timeline; missing identification of necessary team members; major revisions needed for data collection and implementation            | No timeline; team members incorrectly identified; unrealistic plan for data collection |
| Budget   | Appropriate to planned study and well within budget limitations   | Reasonable budget; may need additional support  | Reasonable budget, but needs revision   | Reasonable budget, but needs major revision   | Unrealistic budget   |
| Publication  | High impact (>10) journal article (JAMA, JAMA-IM, Annals), or multiple moderate impact journal articles   | Moderate Impact (2-10) journal article (JHM, JGIM, Mayo, Green Journal)   | Single low impact (< 2) journal article   | Only national conferences   | Not happening  |
| Demonstrated Commitment to Hospital Medicine & Personal Statement Evaluation | Strong intent to pursue hospital medicine with evidence of hospital medicine involvement (e.g. conferences); outstanding personal statement   | Clear, expressed interest in hospital medicine career with good purpose, organization, and clarity to statement       | Expressed interest in hospital medicine with some purpose, organization and clarity to statement                                      | Disorganized statement with minimal purpose or clarity and weak expression of hospital medicine interest  | Weak or unclear personal statement without expressed interest in hospital medicine     |
| Letters of Recommendation and Evaluation:                                    | Letter is supportive and positive and adds personal details; contains superlatives, exceptional claims of support and talent, and very detailed information about the candidate's strengths | Letter is supportive and positive and adds personal details   | Letter is supportive and positive; endorses the candidate and adds some personal qualifications/ characteristics                      | Letter is brief, non-specific or may contain only academic information; lacks personal detail and does not add information to applicant's candidacy | Letter does not endorse candidate and contains cautions                                |

**TOTAL SCORE:** \_\_\_\_\_